1	JONATHAN W. BIRDT – SBN 183908	e-filed
2	Law Office of Jonathan W. Birdt	
2	18252 Bermuda Street	
3	Porter Ranch, CA 91326	
	Telephone: (818) 400-4485 Facsimile: (818) 428-1384	
4	jon@jonbirdt.com	
5	Attorney for Plaintiff Robert Thomson	
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11		
12	ROBERT THOMSON,	CASE NO. 2:11-cv-06154-SJO-JC
13	Plaintiff,	OPPOSITION TO REQUEST TO
14	vs.) RELATE CASES
15)
16	TORRANCE POLICE DEPARTMENT and) THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFFS)))
17	DEPARTMENT,)
18	Defendants.))
19))
20))
21		I
22	Relation of these cases is not appropriate as each Plaintiff seeks to recover	
23	damages for a violation of their individual civil rights and each matter is fact and	
24	law specific and each case involving different parties, legal authorities and	
25	evolving legal arguments.	
26	Moreover, Case # 2:10-CV-08377-JAK –JEM is a closed matter that cannot	
27	be the lead case. The Thomson matter would be the lead matter but by agreement	
28		

OPPOSITION TO REQUEST TO RELATE CASES- PAGE- 1 CASE NO.2:11-cv-06154-SJO-JC

of the parties and order of the Court, Case Dispositive Motions for Summary Judgment have been fully briefed, filed and are set to be heard on February 27, 2012. As such, as of the end of February there is only one pending matter, Raulinaitis v. LASD, and the parties can meet and confer at that time as stated in the Joint Report previously submitted in that matter regarding how best to proceed with the least effect on Judicial resources following the Courts' ruling on the Thomson matter. January 19, 2012 Jonathan W. Birdt, Esq.